



**TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE TO THE MSRC
THURSDAY, August 1, 2024 MEETING MINUTES
21865 Copley Drive, Diamond Bar, CA 91765**

MSRC-TAC MEMBERS PRESENT:

MSRC-TAC Chair Minh Le, representing the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors
MSRC-TAC Vice-Chair Jason Farin, representing Riverside County Board of Supervisors
Adriann Cardoso, representing Orange County Transportation Authority
Chris Escobedo, representing the Cities of Riverside County
Denis Bilodeau, representing Orange County Board of Supervisors
Hanan Sawalha (alt), representing Regional Rideshare Agency
Joseph Alcock, representing Cities of Orange County
Kelly Lynn, representing San Bernardino County Transportation Authority
Lorelle Moe-Luna (alt), representing Riverside County Transportation Commission
Rongsheng Luo, representing Southern California Association of Governments
Steven Lee, representing Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro)
David Leyzerovsky (alt), representing Metro
Yuh Jiun Tan (alt), representing South Coast AQMD

OTHERS PRESENT:

Jack Symington – Los Angeles Clean Tech Incubator
Jacquelyn Zamora
Jessica Spiking – City of Riverside
Laura Jacobi – Clean Energy
Lauren Dunlap

SCAQMD STAFF & CONTRACTORS

Aaron Katzenstein, Deputy Executive Officer
Aisha Reyes, Senior Public Affairs Specialist
Cynthia Ravenstein, MSRC Contracts Administrator
Daphne Hsu, Principal Deputy District Counsel
Karen Sandoval, Financial Analyst
Kristin Remy, Senior Administrative Assistant
Maria Allen, MSRC Administrative Liaison
Nydia Ibarra, Public Affairs Specialist
Ray Gorski, MSRC Technical Advisor – Contractor
Sindy Enriquez, Contracts Assistant

CALL TO ORDER

- Call to Order
MSRC-TAC Chair Minh Le called the meeting to order at 1:31 p.m.
- Opening Comments
Chair Minh Le congratulated the South Coast AQMD on winning an US EPA grant close to \$500 million to address climate pollution in our region. Chair Le also informed the MSRC-TAC members of Rule R23-008 under California Building Code rulemaking, and that the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) authorizes programs and investments in different areas in particular transportation electrification. The CPUC is considering slowing down or cancelling some transportation electrification investments that were authorized several years ago. Chair Le asked the MSRC-TAC members to inform their organizations of the potential billion-dollar loss in electrification investments for transportation.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Information Only – Receive and File

Agenda Item #1 – Minutes of May 2, 2024 MSRC-TAC Meeting

The minutes of the May 2, 2024, MSRC-TAC meeting were included in the agenda package.

Moved by Cardoso; Seconded by Escobedo; under approval of Consent Calendar Items #1-5, item unanimously approved.

Ayes: Alcock, Cardoso, Bilodeau, Escobedo, Lee, Luo, Moe-Luna (alt), Lynn, Sawalha (alt), Tan (alt), Farin, Le

Noes: None.

Action: The meeting minutes were received and approved. MSRC staff will place the minutes on the MSRC's website.

Agenda Item #2 – Summary of Final Reports by MSRC Contractors

One final report was submitted for MSRC-TAC review and approval during August:

- Long Beach Transit, Contract #MS16121 (Repower 39 and Purchase 1 New Transit Buses with Near-Zero Engines)

Moved by Cardoso; Seconded by Escobedo; under approval of Consent Calendar Items #1-5, item unanimously approved.

Ayes: Alcock, Cardoso, Bilodeau, Escobedo, Lee, Luo, Moe-Luna (alt), Lynn, Sawalha (alt), Tan (alt), Farin, Le

Noes: None.

Action: The Final Report Summary was received and approved. It will be included on the MSRC's next agenda for final action.

Agenda Item #3 – MSRC Contracts Administrator’s Report

The Contracts Administrator’s Report for May 29 through July 24, 2024 was included in the agenda package.

Moved by Cardoso; Seconded by Escobedo; under approval of Consent Calendar Items #1-5, item unanimously approved.

Ayes: Alcock, Cardoso, Bilodeau, Escobedo, Lee, Luo, Moe-Luna (alt), Lynn, Sawalha (alt), Tan (alt), Farin, Le

Noes: None.

Action: The Contracts Administrator’s Report was received and filed. It will be included on the MSRC’s next agenda for final action.

Agenda Item #4 – Financial Report on AB 2766 Discretionary Fund

The Financial Report on the AB 2766 Discretionary Fund for June 2024 was included in the agenda package.

Moved by Cardoso; Seconded by Escobedo; under approval of Consent Calendar Items #1-5, item unanimously approved.

Ayes: Alcock, Cardoso, Bilodeau, Escobedo, Lee, Luo, Moe-Luna (alt), Lynn, Sawalha (alt), Tan (alt), Farin, Le

Noes: None.

Action: The June 2024 financial report was received and filed. No further action is required.

Agenda Item #5 – Report on Outreach Activities

The Spring 2024 quarterly report was included in the agenda package.

Moved by Cardoso; Seconded by Escobedo; under approval of Consent Calendar Items #1-5, item unanimously approved.

Ayes: Alcock, Cardoso, Bilodeau, Escobedo, Lee, Luo, Moe-Luna (alt), Lynn, Sawalha (alt), Tan (alt), Farin, Le

Noes: None.

Action: The report on outreach activities was received and filed. No further action is required.

ACTION CALENDAR

Agenda Item #6 – Consider FY 24-25 Administrative Budget

Karen Sandoval, Financial Analyst, gave an overview of the fiscal year 2024-2025 administrative budget. The Health and Safety Code allows for the South Coast AQMD to be reimbursed for administrative costs related to supporting the MSRC program. Administrative costs are capped at 6.25% of the revenues collected in a fiscal year. The FY 24-25 administrative budget is projected to be \$965,000 against a projected cap of \$1,035,000, leading to an

unallocated balance of about \$70,000. The cap has been projected to increase slightly from the last fiscal year, due to anticipated DMV revenues. Changes in staffing and for services and supplies from the approved budget from last year include adding a Planning and Pules Manager who is budgeting to work approximately 800 hours on MSRC, and the contract for the Technical Advisor is not included in the administrative costs and will be fully charged to program costs.

Moved by Luo, seconded by Farin to recommend approval; item unanimously approved.

Ayes: Alcock, Cardoso, Bilodeau, Escobedo, Lee, Luo, Moe-Luna (alt), Lynn, Sawalha (alt), Tan (alt), Farin, Le

Noes: None

Action: MSRC staff will include the new budget on the next MSRC agenda for approval.

FYs 2016-18 WORK PROGRAM

Chair Minh Le asked for disclosures:

Steven Lee stated that for items #13 and #14 he does not have a financial interest but is required to identify for the record that he is an employee of the LA County Metropolitan Transportation Authority which is involved in these items.

Yuh Jiun Tan stated that for items #10, #12, and #13 she does not have a financial interest, but is required to identify for the record that she is an employee of the South Coast AQMD, which is involved in these items.

Agenda Item #7 – Consider One-Year Extension by City of Los Angeles, Contract #ML18082 (Purchase 8 Medium-Duty Vehicles and Install 8 Limited-Access Electric Vehicle (EV) Charging Infrastructures)

Cynthia Ravenstein, MSRC Contracts Administrator, explained that the City of Los Angeles was awarded \$900,000 under the MSRC's Local Government Partnership Program. \$740,000 was to procure eight medium duty zero emission vehicles and \$160,000 to install eight limited access charging stations. The City has received one vehicle and the charging stations have been installed and are in operation. The City has been delayed due to delays in the release of the purchase orders and some vehicle parts supply issues. The City is requesting a one-year term extension. This contract has previously had a one-year term extension. The City had requested a longer schedule than other projects under this Work Program. This contract is from 2018; with these extensions it would be a 10-year contract. The Contracts Administrator recommends approval of the City's request for the one-year term extension, but with a condition that this would be the final extension.

MSRC-TAC Chair Minh Le asked if the purchase orders have been placed for the City's vehicles and when the City expects delivery. Ms. Ravenstein answered that the purchase orders have been placed. The City expects delivery of the vehicles by the end of 2024.

Moved by Lynn to recommend to approve for the City of Los Angeles, Contract #ML18082, a one-year term extension contingent on this being their last extension, seconded by Bilodeau to recommend approval; item unanimously approved.

Ayes: Alcock, Cardoso, Bilodeau, Escobedo, Lee, Luo, Moe-Luna (alt), Lynn, Sawalha (alt), Tan (alt), Farin, Le

Noes: None

Action: MSRC staff will include this contract modification on the next MSRC agenda for approval.

Agenda Item #8 – Consider Two-Year Term Extension by City of Mission Viejo, Contract #ML18068 (Purchase 2 Light-Duty ZEVs & Install EVSE)

Cynthia Ravenstein, MSRC Contracts Administrator, explained that the City of Mission Viejo was awarded funding in the 2016-2018 Local Government Partnership Program to purchase two light duty zero emission vehicles and two charging stations. The City has purchased the vehicles and they have been in service. They would like to change the location of the charging stations to a new development they are putting together. The City has not previously had any extensions, but since this is a longer contract, the Contracts Administrator recommends approving their two-year term extension, but with the condition that this be the final extension.

MSRC-TAC Alternate Lorelle Moe-Luna asked to clarify that the project term would be from July 31, 2019 to June 30, 2027 with the two year extension. Ms. Ravenstein answered that July 2019 to June 2027 is the existing term. This contract requires an operational period on the stations as well. With the two-year extension, the contract would be extended to 2029.

MSRC-TAC Member Mark McCullough asked why it is okay for the previous item to have a second extension, but only one extension for this item. Ms. Ravenstein explained that this item is for a two-year extension, making the aggregate length the same.

MSRC-TAC Chair Minh Le expressed concern in that a new development will require Title 24 requirements in terms of how many spots are EV-ready. He further asked if the City had not purchased any vehicles since 2018. Ms. Ravenstein answered that the City had at least purchased two EV vehicles.

MSRC-TAC Vice-Chair Jason Farin asked if the City is okay with a two-year extension considering how redevelopment projects tend to need more time. Vice-Chair Farin also asked if staff discussed with the City where they are with utilities for this project. Ms. Ravenstein answered that the City requested a two-year extension, and they probably figured they could ask for more time later if necessary. Ms. Ravenstein stated that utilities were not discussed with the City.

MSRC-TAC Member Adriann Cardoso stated that if this were a competitive program she would be very hesitant, but given that these were formula funds, she is comfortable with the extension request. Ms. Cardoso stated she would like to leave the contract open for extensions considering there may be unknown issues that could cause further delays.

Moved by Cardoso, seconded by Alcock to recommend approval; item unanimously approved.

Ayes: Alcock, Cardoso, Bilodeau, Escobedo, Lee, Luo, Moe-Luna (alt), Lynn, Sawalha (alt),

Tan (alt), Farin, Le

Noes: None

Action: MSRC staff will include this contract modification on the next MSRC agenda for approval.

Agenda Item #9 – Consider 17-Month Extension by City of Riverside, Contract #ML18063 (Expand Existing CNG Station)

Cynthia Ravenstein, MSRC Contracts Administrator, explained that the City of Riverside was awarded funding under the FY 16-18 Local Government Partnership Program. They are seeking a 19-month extension for expanding their CNG station due to vendor issues and permit delays. The City had submitted their plans for permitting approval. MSRC staff followed up with them and learned there was another delay in approval of that permit. This changes the City's original request from a 17-month term extension to at 19-month term extension. With the required operational period, they now require until September 30, 2029 to complete the project. The Contracts Administrator recommends approval with this being their final extension.

MSRC-TAC Member Chris Escobedo asked if it is safe to assume that the July 2024 milestone in the report is now August or September. Ms. Ravenstein answered that that yes, that with approval of this item, it would move the milestone date by two months.

MSRC-TAC Chair Minh Le asked what percentage of what was allocated has been expended to date. Ms. Ravenstein answered that from this project, the City has not expended any of the \$50,000.

MSRC-TAC Alternate Lorelle Moe Luna asked for more information regarding the requirement for the five-year operational period. The projects themselves would be completed and installed within the contract period, but it's the five-year operational period where they would end up falling short. Ms. Ravenstein answered that the MSRC contracts used to be long enough to cover getting vehicles into service or getting the infrastructure installed and put into operation, then there would be a survivability clause that required the contractor to keep operating for a certain amount of time. It was later determined that it was a stronger situation if the contract was open while the requirement to operate was in place, so that if they fail to meet that requirement the contract could more easily be enforced. When looking at the end date, it can seem like a long time, but you need to subtract the operation period.

Ms. Moe-Luna asked if the City would have to wait until after the five-year period to seek reimbursement. Ms. Ravenstein stated that as soon they submit their Final Report, they can request reimbursement. The Final Report comes before the operations period.

Moved by Escobedo to recommend to approve for the City of Riverside, Contract #ML18063, a 19-month term extension, contingent on it being the last extension;
Seconded by Moe-Luna; item unanimously approved.

Ayes: Alcock, Bilodeau, Escobedo, Lee, Luo, Moe-Luna (alt), Lynn, Sawalha (alt), Tan (alt), Farin, Le

Noes: None

Action: MSRC staff will include this contract modification on the next MSRC agenda for approval.

Agenda Item #10 – Clarify that MSRC Allocation Partnering with Penske Truck Rental in Responding to South Coast AQMD Carl Moyer Program Announcement PA2024-02, Zero Emission Infrastructure Program Includes Partnering under Earlier South Coast AQMD Carl Moyer Program Opportunities

Cynthia Ravenstein, MSRC Contracts Administrator, explained that in 2022, the Mobile Source Air Pollution Reduction Review Committee (MSRC) issued a Request for Information (RFI) focused on infrastructure for zero-emission transportation. Penske Truck Leasing responded to this RFI and later applied for funding through the Carl Moyer program in early 2023. The South Coast Air Quality Management District (AQMD) subsequently announced a zero-emission infrastructure program. Discussions ensued between Penske and MSRC staff regarding potential collaboration on a response to this program. The MSRC committed funding contingent upon successful awards. Penske received funding for its project from South Coast AQMD, which it had also submitted to MSRC. This clarification seeks to confirm MSRC's partnership for Penske's 2024 project, which also covers previous submissions in 2023, to facilitate co-funding for five awarded locations out of twenty in total, allowing MSRC to allocate \$6,353,697 to Penske.

MSRC-TAC Chair Minh Le asked if the South Coast AQMD granted their award under the assumption that they could assume MSRC funding. Ms. Ravenstein answered that Penske's application in 2023 said they anticipated co-funding but didn't identify the specific source. The award was not based on MSRC co-funding the locations.

MSRC-TAC Alternate Lorelle Moe-Luna asked if there are any other matching funds that are in play. Ms. Ravenstein stated that Penske anticipates funds from Southern California Edison programs.

Mr. Le asked how much Penske's contribution would be if there were to receive MSRC funding. Ms. Ravenstein stated that she didn't have the exact numbers, but believed it was at least twenty five percent. MSRC-TAC Alternate Yuh Jiun Tan stated that Penske is required to match with fifteen percent, but at the same time they should be able to use MSRC funds.

Ms. Moe-Luna asked what the total project cost was. Ms. Ravenstein stated that the total cost of the project is approximately \$39 million, which is about double the MSRC contribution.

Ms. Tan clarified that fifteen percent is the minimum, because there are caps depending on their application, so it might be more.

Mr. Gorski added that the original proposal to the MSRC is our only insight into the project, as we can't access Carl Moyer program applications. According to the MSRC proposal, private funding from Penske would cover about 55% of the total project cost.

Mr. Le asked the South Coast AQMD Technology Advancement Office Deputy Executive Officer Aaron Katzenstein if the MSRC funds were not approved for this project, would they still

be able to move forward. Mr. Katzenstein answered stating that this project is one of two hundred awards granted in the last two years, so they need to review it closer.

MSRC-TAC Member Chris Escobedo asked what specific information should staff bring forward if this item is moved to the next meeting.

Mr. Le stated that he would like to know if the South Coast AQMD approved Penske's award based having MSRC funds or without. Ms. Ravenstein stated that the award from South Coast AQMD was made after the MSRC made their determination to provide co-funding on a new application.

Moved by Cardoso seconded by Luo to recommend approval; item unanimously approved.

Ayes: Alcock, Cardoso, Bilodeau, Escobedo, Lee, Luo, Moe-Luna (alt), Lynn, Sawalha (alt), Tan (alt), Farin, Le

Noes: None

Abstain: Tan

Action: MSRC staff will include this clarification on the next MSRC agenda for approval.

Agenda Item #11 - Evaluate Performance of MSRC Website and Consider Potential Next Steps

MSRC-TAC Member Joseph Alcock left during the discussion of this item.

Cynthia Ravenstein, MSRC Contracts Administrator, gave a presentation on the MSRC website. The key functions that it serves are to disseminate both time sensitive and historical information to current MSRC contractors and prospective project applicants and other interested parties. This includes, but is not limited to solicitation documents, agendas, minutes, articles, press releases, the list of current MSRC and MSRC-TAC members, guidance documents and templates for current contractors. It also provides the ability for people to submit applications and proposals online. The current website was developed in 2017. In fall of 2020, the MSRC gave direction to pursue selection of a contractor for hosting and maintenance of the site. This hosting contract will expire in June 2025. In the evaluation of the MSRC-TAC Administrative Subcommittee, the website continues to perform its essential functions, it doesn't look especially stale and the hosting and maintenance costs have been quite affordable. Ms. Ravenstein clarified that during the Administrative Subcommittee she was asked what the costs have been, and she had stated the base period amount of \$12,500, but the total cost for the past five years has been closer to \$20,000. The Administrative Subcommittee recommends the development of an RFP with base quotes for hosting and maintenance then a separate quote to provide cost to do limited upgrades and revisions. The Subcommittee members also suggested investigating using Planet Bids or other means of doing solicitation posting and proposal acceptance online. Ms. Ravenstein noted that even if we did use another website for accepting bids and proposals we would still need to have the website for other functions. Ms. Ravenstein stated that Planet Bids' cost for use was not readily available and that she would need to meet with them to get a quote.

MSRC-TAC Member Kelly Lynn stated that she has received feedback from smaller vendors using Planet Bids that it was not user friendly for them. Her agency has written up instructions for applicants to follow.

Moved by Cardoso, seconded by Bilodeau to recommend approval; item unanimously approved.

Ayes: Cardoso, Bilodeau, Escobedo, Lee, Luo, Moe-Luna (alt), Lynn, Sawalha (alt), Tan (alt), Farin, Le

Noes: None

Action: MSRC staff will include these recommendations on the next MSRC agenda for consideration

Agenda Item #12 - Approve Memorandum of Understanding between: 1) the South Coast AQMD on behalf of the MSRC and the Port of Long Beach; and 2) South Coast AQMD on behalf of the MSRC and the Port of Los Angeles

Ray Gorski, MSRC Technical Advisor, gave a presentation on two Memoranda of Understanding (MOU) with the Ports of Long Beach and Los Angeles. These MOUs enable the MSRC and San Pedro Bay ports to collaborate on mutually beneficial programs. Both Ports have unanimously adopted the MOUs after the MSRC Chair spoke in support of the agreements. The Ports' actions have garnered extensive positive press for the MSRC. The Ports are investing over \$25 million in zero emission electric drayage truck charging infrastructure, with over 200 charging stations to support heavy-duty trucking. The MOU outlines the terms and conditions the MSRC and Ports agreed to. They hope the MOUs will be a model for future collaborations. The eight projects the MSRC solicited under its infrastructure RFI will be fully funded by the Ports, with no MSRC co-funding. The MSRC will administer the projects. The request is to approve the MOUs as outlined in the staff report and send them to the MSRC for consideration.

MSRC-TAC Alternate, Lorelle Moe-Luna asked about the timeline for the projects to be completed. Mr. Gorski stated that the MSRC staff will work with the RFI respondents to create a contract outlining their obligations. Projects involving heavy-duty electric vehicle charging infrastructure typically take several years to complete.

Moved by Luo, seconded by Farin to recommend approval; item unanimously approved.

Ayes: Cardoso, Bilodeau, Escobedo, Lee, Luo, Moe-Luna (alt), Lynn, Sawalha (alt), Farin, Le

Noes: None

Abstain: Tan (alt)

Action: MSRC staff will include these recommended approvals on the next MSRC agenda for approval.

Agenda Item #13 - Consider Partnership Opportunity with the California Department of Transportation (Caltrans) and California Energy Commission (CEC) to Pursue Funding Under the US Department of Transportation (US DOT) Charging and Fueling Infrastructure Grant Program

Ray Gorski, MSRC Technical Advisor, gave a presentation from the Partnership Subcommittee. This would be one of the first elements of the MSRC's FY 2025-2027 Work Program. This would be a partnership between the MSRC, Caltrans and the California Energy Commission. Last year, under Round One of the USDOT Charging and Fueling Infrastructure opportunity, the MSRC made a funding commitment of up to \$6 million to partner with Caltrans and the CEC to install zero emission refueling along two corridors within the State of California. Though the project was deemed meritorious by the US DOT, it was not awarded funding in Round One due to high demand and limited funding. For Round Two of the CFI program, funding has been set aside for entities to resubmit their unfunded Round One projects. Caltrans anticipates they will resubmit their original round one application for reconsideration.

Under Round Two there is another pot of money for Maritime Port Focused Projects. The Energy Commission has put forth a new project which is focused on the maritime ports. The emphasis for the MSRC's participation would be on the major corridors which connect the San Pedro Bay ports to the Inland Empire logistics facilities.

The request by Caltrans and the CEC is for the MSRC to recommit \$6 million and support the Round One resubmittal and the new Round Two application, with a final determination that would be made once it's known which of those projects would or would not receive funding from the US DOT. The Partnership Subcommittee recommends asking the MSRC to reinstate the \$6 million commitment to the State of California's CFI funding applications with the understanding that once the award amounts are known, it would come back to the MSRC-TAC and the MSRC for final consideration and the allocation of MSRC Discretionary funds.

MSRC-TAC Alternate, Lorelle Moe -Luna stated that's a great strategy, but asked how much they're seeking from US DOT to leverage. Mr. Gorski stated that he cannot disclose complete information due to the competitive nature of the project. Last year their total project value was \$100 million. In the event that both Round One and Round Two were awarded, it would be a considerably greater overall scope.

MSRC-TAC Member Rongsheng Luo inquired why they wouldn't combine their applications versus resubmitting for a portion. Mr. Gorski explained that it's two separate applications. There's a Round One resubmittal, which has a carve-out, and Round Two. US DOT is portioning some of their available funding for reconsideration of unfunded Round One projects. There must be two separate proposals.

Moved by Escobedo, seconded by Moe-Luna (alt) to recommend approval; item unanimously approved.

Ayes: Cardoso, Bilodeau, Escobedo, Luo, Moe-Luna (alt), Lynn, Sawalha (alt), Farin

Noes: None

Abstain: Tan, Lee, Le

Action: MSRC staff will include this recommended partnership on the next MSRC agenda for approval.

Agenda Item #14 – Consider MSRC- Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (Metro) Joint Request for Information for Potential Metro Submission to

California Transportation Commission (CTC) Zero Emission Infrastructure Funding Program

MSRC-TAC Member Denis Bilodeau left during the discussion of this item.

Ray Gorski, MSRC Technical Advisor, gave a presentation from the Partnership Subcommittee. This is a request to release a joint Request for Information (RFI) from the MSRC and Metro. This RFI could be used to inform an application for funding to the California Transportation Commission seeking money from the Trade Corridor Enhancement Program (TCEP). Previously, the MSRC and Metro entered into a cooperative agreement that formed a framework for the two entities to work together. This is the first opportunity under that cooperative agreement to potentially partner in the pursuit of TCEP funding. The application will be focused on the corridor from Long Beach port area north along the I-710, encompassing approximately 23 communities. This would be seeking moneys to construct zero emission truck recharging and potentially, hydrogen refueling. The RFI is specifically intended to identify potential partners, which would be included in the application to the CTC. There is no funding that is directly associated with the RFI, from either LA Metro or the MSRC. The Partnership Subcommittee recommends approval of the RFI with an understanding that there could be potential future funding commitment requests if applicants respond to the RFI and if an application to the California Transportation Commission is put together and submitted by LA Metro.

MSRC-TAC Member Rongsheng Luo asked how much total funding is available under the TCEP program. Mr. Gorski stated that he doesn't have that information at this time. At this point we don't have any information on what the potential size of the funding request would be because the first step is to identify if there are partners who would want to install EV charging and hydrogen refueling along the I-710 corridor.

MSRC-TAC Alternate Lorelle Moe-Luna asked if the results of the RFI be shared with the MSRC once its completed. Mr. Gorski stated that the RFI results would be shared with the MSRC. The RFI would be multifunctional with its primary purpose to inform an application which would be submitted under the TCEP funding, but projects submitted under the RFI could also be used for the MSRC and Metro to potentially fund them outside the TCEP funding. Also, potential projects could also be used to apply for CFI funds with the Energy Commission's application.

Moved by Cardoso, seconded by Luo to recommend approval; item unanimously approved.

Ayes: Cardoso, Escobedo, Luo, Moe-Luna (alt), Lynn, Sawalha (alt), Tan (alt), Farin, Le

Noes: None

Abstain: Lee

Action: MSRC staff will include this RFI on the next MSRC agenda for approval.

OTHER BUSINESS:

Ms. Cardoso stated that the South Coast AQMD has received a large award from Climate Pollution Reduction Grants and asked if there are any opportunities for the MSRC to work with them to leverage funds. Mr. Gorski stated that he spoke with the South Coast AQMD and they

8/1/2024 MSRC-TAC Meeting Minutes

are in the process of developing the workplan but hope there are opportunities for collaboration.

PUBLIC COMMENTS:

NO PUBLIC COMMENT

ADJOURNMENT

THERE BEING NO FURTHER BUSINESS, THE MSRC-TAC MEETING
ADJOURNED AT 2:52 P.M.

NEXT MEETING: Next meeting: Thursday, September 5, 2024, 1:30 p.m.

(Minutes prepared by Maria M. Allen)